Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/04/09 : CIA-RDP75-00149R000500450141-5



Not So Smart

MAX LERNER

JUU-1 9 1960

For weeks I have been drowning in a sea of this case of the smart politics and smart politicians, and so have you. Let's make an end of it. Most of the political smartness I have without the has usually outsmarted itself in the end. In the governing and strategists self-governing of men, smartness is a terribly transitisty that.

It is wisdom that counts.

Take the episode of the intelligence Mainer between the Administration and the new chaddates, which turned into a contest of smartness on both sides. After the Johnson nomination Kennedy was so anxious to appeads the liberals that he contint wait for the Elsenhower offer to be made formally. Jumping the gun, he picked Stevenson and Bowles as his listen with the CIA briefers. Hagerty (with Nixon doubtless behind him) was also terribly smart, seeing no reason why Elsenhower should help Kennedy in the hotbox his convention smartness had emated, and turned his face stonily against any lisison men. Outcomes to hits, no runs, no assists.

But Kennedy's problem is still there: what to do about the millions of Democrats and independents for whom Stevenson was a symbol and Kennedy is not. The politicians who had written Stevenson off beforehand (including Stevenson himself) were not so terribly smart after all. My experience is that the political pros are the last men in the world to know what the seething, if silent, forces are in a convention; just as they are the last to know what are the fears and tumults in the human near. Like all journeyman technicians, they only know how to count, weigh, and balance, but they are dumb about intangibles. There isn't one of them to whom I would tip my hat when it comes to intangibles, yet it is always on intangibles that elections — like battles and wars—are won and lost.

All this is by way of introduction to the assembling of a Republican clark at Chicago.

Nixon has for years been halled as the kleagle of the clan of smart politicians, long before Kennedy came to foin him in that role. When Nelson Rockefeller made a bid for recognition as a Republican candidate, in his swing across the continent. Nixon and Leonard Hall had him smothered in a blanket of hostility in every state in which he turned up.

The Democrats had a host of Presidential candidates, even if only one was dominant. The Nixon forces didn't dare let Reckefeller's campaign even reach the convention floor, with some equal chance to make a fight of it. From Nixon's standpoint this made sense. From the standpoint of the Republicationary and its political for tunes it may prove disastrous.

The Democrats have left themselves wide open and vulness, able to the right kind of Republican attack. But it should be clear to any det ned observer that Nixon, with all his political smartness, will be the wrong man to exploit these weaknesses.

The nomination of Kennedy and the acceptance of the most liberal platform the Democrats have ever framed sets the problem for the Republicans: how can they get a candidate and platform which can meet this challenge and make inroads into the labor, liberal, and Negro voting groups which are normally. Democratic? The Democratic choice of Johnson for second place, and the disgruntlement of the Stevenson wing of the party, give the Republicans an opening to exploit. Kennedy's youth gives them another opening.

of their americat politicians, knows these rather obvious facts.

Do they know that Rockefeller, in his persistent and continuous solvecacy of a fighting liberal platform, is the only Republical who could nullify the impact of the Democratic platform.

who could nullity the impact of the Democratic platform?

Do they know; that those who consider Kennedy too young would scarcely be set after by Nixon on this score, but would feel confidence in Recketellar's maturity? Do they know finally that the current Castro crisis, involving our relations with the whole of Latin America deeply involves Nixon in the Administration's fallules, but that Rocketellar's wide and deep knowledge of Latin America avoid min him support?

The univer do allows and twills even to ask these questions. The univer do allows them is that whether the Republican stratustists incompany they decided that Nixon was their minds that he is a smart politican, that he knows how to handle that the clitches that they can count on his policies and decided. Reclassifier? They regard him as an outsider who is trying to upset their decisions by alpeating to the people, many of whom was Dimocrats anyway. As if that were not exactly the point of Rocksfeller's strength.

sifter 20 years in the wilderness, from 1932 to 1952, the Republicans had eight years of power with a war hero. It looks know as if they were determined to go back to the wilderness, which is where belitical smartness often ends up.